

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 04/20/2004 A04P3007-US1 10/828,883 Michael Paris 5630 **EXAMINER** 24473 7590 08/29/2005 STEVEN M MITCHELL REIDEL, JESSICA L PACESETTER INC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 701 EAST EVELYN AVENUE SUNNYVALE, CA 94086 3762

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/82	28,883	PARIS, MICHAEL	
		Exam	iner	Art Unit	
			a L. Reidel	3762	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2004.				
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
'=	,—				
-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	_				
	Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers		·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	·				
Attachment	(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I		O-152)
	No(s)/Mail Date	F10/36/00)	6) Other:		,

Application/Control Number: 10/828,883 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-6 and 8-11, drawn to methods for determining exercise diagnostics

parameters, classified in class 600, subclass 519.

II. Claims 7 and 12, drawn to implantable cardiac devices, classified in class 600,

subclass 509.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions

are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as

claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as any entirely

implantable medical device known in the art that does not include means for transmitting data to

an external device.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

4. If Group I above is elected, this application contains claims directed to the following

patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, the embodiment of determining a maximum observed heart rate of a patient during exercise (see Claims 1-6).

Species II, the embodiment of determining a workload of a patient during exercise that is obtained without determining a maximum observed heart rate (see Claims 8-11 and Applicant's disclosure page 24).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims generic.

5. If Group II above is elected, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species III, the embodiment for determining a maximum observed heart rate of a patient during exercise (see Claim 7).

Species IV, the embodiment fore determining a workload of a patient during exercise that is obtained without determining a maximum observed heart rate (see Claim 12 and Applicant's disclosure page 24).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica L. Reidel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2129. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7-4:30 and every other Friday 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E. Pezzuto

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3762

Jessica L. Reidel

